

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\*

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>Executive Contact/Phone:</b>
Mayor's Office	Steve Lee 206-684-7904	Steve Lee 206-684-7904

*\* Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

### **1. BILL SUMMARY**

**Legislation Title:** A RESOLUTION relating to responsible police gun procurement.

**Summary and background of the Legislation:** This resolution establishes the City's commitment to ensuring firearms from the Seattle Police Department – whether new or surplus – are not diverted into an illegal market, and to pursuing a policy to increase responsibility and improve firearm safety in the community and City procurement practices.

### **2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project.**

### **3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

**This legislation does have direct financial implications.**

Under the proposed policy

1. Department of Finance and Administrative Services, City Procurement and Contract Services (CPCS) will require, as a condition of bid submittal, firearm and ammunition vendors to adhere to federal and state law.
2. Verify each bidders' latest inspection report from the ATF, as available.
3. Restrict resale of Glock firearms no longer needed by the Seattle Police Department to only certified law enforcement entities.

Acquisition volume of Glocks varies by year, but in a best case scenario, the cost could be \$0 if the vendors can find a law enforcement market for used firearms. In a worst case, and most likely scenario, vendors provide nothing for trade-ins. SPD estimates the cost to be approximate \$30,000 per year for 2017, 2018 and 2019 while the department is engaged in aggressive hiring.

FY 2017	\$30,000
FY 2018	\$30,000
FY 2019	<u>\$30,000</u>
	\$90,000

### **4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

**a) Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?**

No.

**b) Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?**

No

**c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

This resolution involves the purchase and reselling of guns used by the affects the Seattle Police Department. There is a chance that the policy could have limit the number of vendors seeking to sell firearms to the City. CPCS will solicit bids from, and execute and administer firearm vendor contracts.

**d) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No.

**e) Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**

No.

**f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No.

**g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

No.

**h) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**

Two-thirds of the people wounded or killed by guns are African American, this is yet another way we can address racial disparities. This resolution supports procurement policies designed to keep surplus firearms off of Seattle streets and requirement that any resale of the firearms are to law enforcement agencies.

**i) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.**

Does not include a new initiative or programmatic expansion.

**j) Other Issues:**

**List attachments/exhibits below:**